# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern	District	of	V	irgin	ia
	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	••	•		

)
)
) Case No. 2:20mj230
)
)

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

### Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon	the
------	-----

☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

### Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rist to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

# Case 2:20-mj-00230 Document 22 Filed 07/13/20 Page 3 of 3 PageID# 40

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

The Court stated the reasons for detention at the hearing and the record is available for review in the event of an appeal. The Defendant was charged by criminal complaint with use of a telephone to make any threat concerning an attempt or alleged attempt being made or to be made to kill, injure, or intimidate an individual, or unlawfully to damage or destroy any building. According to the Government's proffer, at 10:44 a.m. on Sunday, June 7, 2020, a predominantly African-American Baptist Church received a telephone call from someone threatening to burn down the church, and commanding the members thereof to "shut up." The call was received during an online Bible study, and had been placed on speaker phone, where it was heard by several church members, including children. The caller used a vicious racial slur and those who heard the call feared the threat was imminent. The pastor of the church had been one of the leaders of an interfaith prayer vigil that had been held five days before on account of the killing of George Floyd. The FBI was contacted and they traced the call to Defendant, although steps had been taken by the caller to disguise the phone number. Upon questioning Defendant allegedly confirmed the phone number was his but denied making the call, claiming to have been sleeping at the time. Investigation revealed that, following the June 2 prayer vigil, Defendant had made numerous Google searches regarding "why n\*\*\*\*\*s are protesting and looting," Black Lives Matter, the prayer vigil, and the churches who had led the that event. Investigation also disclosed that another of the churches who led the prayer vigil had also been called by Defendant's phone number at or near the time of the first phone call, although that call may not have been answered. Defendant has a very limited criminal history, but the Government proffered that he had attempted suicide in the past. Defendant, who lives in Catawba, NC, proposed his wife as third party custodian, but some question was raised regarding her possible drug use and her frankness with pretrial services. In addition, jail calls listened to by the FBI between defendant and his wife suggested she had some knowledge regarding the destruction of evidence. Finally, the Government advised that it may be pursuing through indictment charges based on federal civil rights statute 18 U.S.C.§ 247. Under these circumstances, based on the Bail Reform Act's four factors, the Court is pursuaded by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will protect any other person or the community, and Defendant therefore should be detained.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is	remanded to the custody of the Attorn	ey General or to the Attorney General's designated representative
		extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or
being held in cus	stody pending appeal. The defendant i	nust be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation
with defense cou	unsel. On order of a court of the Un	ited States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the
person in charge	of the corrections facility must delive	r the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an
appearance in co	nnection with a court proceeding.	
		Tunt gant
Date:	07/10/2020	Lawrence R. Leonard

Lawrence R. Leonard
United States Magistrate Judge